

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA
FLORENCE DIVISION

L. C. Brown, Jr.,)	C/A No.: 4:14-cv-01886-TLW
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
vs.)	
)	
James R. Barber,)	
)	
Defendant.)	
)	

ORDER

On May 13, 2014, Plaintiff L. C. Brown, a state prison inmate proceeding pro se, filed a complaint naming as Defendant The Honorable James R. Barber, III, a South Carolina state circuit court judge. (See Docs. #1, 9). Plaintiff seeks monetary damages for “each year I have undergone unlawful imprisonment, and relief from custody.” (Doc. #1). The matter now comes before this Court on for review of the Report and Recommendation (“Report”) filed by Magistrate Judge Thomas E. Rogers, III, (Doc. #9), to whom this case was previously assigned. In the Report, the Magistrate Judge recommends that the Court summarily dismiss the complaint in this case *without prejudice*. (Doc. #9). Objections were due by June 16, 2014. Petitioner has filed no objections to the Report.

This Court is charged with conducting a de novo review of any portion of the Magistrate Judge’s Report to which a specific objection is registered, and may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendations contained in that Report. 28 U.S.C. § 636. In the absence of objections to the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge, this Court is not

required to give any explanation for adopting the recommendation. See Camby v. Davis, 718 F.2d 198, 199 (4th Cir. 1983).

This Court has carefully reviewed the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation. For the reasons articulated by the Magistrate Judge, it is hereby **ORDERED** that the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation, (Doc. # 9), is **ACCEPTED**, and Plaintiff's complaint, (Doc. #1), is **DISMISSED** *without prejudice*.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Terry L. Wooten
Chief United States District Judge

June 24, 2014
Columbia, South Carolina